From: <u>Jay Field</u>

To: <u>Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA</u>

Cc: <u>mspence@parametrix.com</u>; <u>Robert Neely</u>; <u>Burt Shephard/R10/USEPA/US@EPA</u>

Subject: Re: Fw: Surface Sediment Stations Excluded from BERA

 
 Date:
 12/07/2010 06:26 PM

 Attachments:
 PH AllData PR5 101207.xlsx PH AllData PR5 101207.DBF

```
Eric, attached is the file with pmax values for all data. note that there is a field in the database called INCLUDE: samples with INCLUDE="N" are the samples that should be excluded.

I recommend excluding or ignoring samples with max_chem="SILVER" where the N_GT50 =1 or N_GT75 (where Silver is the only chemical exceeding a probability of 0.5 or 0.75).

Jay
                                                                                            note that there is
On 12/7/2010 8:46 AM, Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov wrote: > Sorry about that.
 > Here is the attachment.
 > Eric
           -- Forwarded by Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US on 12/07/2010 08:45 AM
Date: 12/06/2010 07:23 PM
Subject: FW: Surface Sediment Stations Excluded from BERA
 > Subject:
 > Eric. Here you go.
 > Sandy
 > ----Original Message---->
> From: Kim Goffman [mailto:KimG@windwardenv.com]
> Sent: Monday, December 06, 2010 5:39 PM
   To: Sandy Browning
Subject: RE: Surface Sediment Stations Excluded from BERA
 > Here's a list of the excluded surface sediment samples.
 > Kim
    ----Original Message----
   From: Sandy Browning [mailto:sbrowning@integral-corp.com]
Sent: Monday, December 06, 2010 5:16 PM
To: Kim Goffman
   Subject: FW: Surface Sediment Stations Excluded from BERA
 > I believe you included this in the BERA as an appendix. Do you have an > Excel table you could share with EPA?
 > Thanks.
 > Sandy
    ----Original Message----
    From: Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov
    [mailto:Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Monday, December 06, 2010 4:52 PM
   To: Sandy Browning
Cc: johnt@windwardenv.com; Gene Revelas
Subject: Surface Sediment Stations Excluded from BERA
 > Sandy, do you have a list of surface sediment station locations that > were excluded from the baseline ecological risk assessment?
   We are working on providing the LWG with an updated Logistic Regression Model and want to make sure that we are not modeling stations that do not need to be included either because they are outside the study area or because they represent areas that have been dredged or remediated. If such a table exists somewhere, please point me in the right
 > direction.
 > (See attached file: BERA_Sample_Exclusions.xlsx)
 Jay Field
 Assessment and Restoration Division
 Office of Response and Restoration, NOAA 7600 Sand Point Way NE
```

Seattle, WA 98115-6349 (P) 206-526-6404 (F) 206-526-6865 (E) jay.field@noaa.gov